

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES ~ APPROVED SEPTEMBER 12, 2013 AT 7:00 PM

City Hall ~ 82877 Spruce St., Westlake, OR

These proceedings of the Dunes City Council were recorded on tape and are on file at Dunes City Hall. Upon approval by the City Council, these minutes will be available online at www.DunesCity.com

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Rebecca Ruede called the meeting of the Dunes City Council to order at 7:00 pm.

2. ROLL CALL

Roll Call was taken by City Administrator Fred Hilden.

Present: Mayor Rebecca Ruede, Council President Jamie Mills, Councilor Duke Wells, Councilor Maurice Sanders, and Councilor Ed Scarberry.

Absent and Excused: Councilor Dick Anderson.

Also Present: City Administrator Fred Hilden, Administrative Assistant Renee Green, Road Secretary Laurale Lorentzen, City Attorney Emily Jerome, and several citizens.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All who were present stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

4. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Councilor Scarberry made a motion to approve the Agenda. Council President Mills seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

Council President Mills made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Councilor Scarberry seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

6. ANNOUNCEMENTS / CORRESPONDENCE

A. The Dunes City "Paddle Only Poker Run" was held on Saturday, August 17, 2013 at 8:00 am on Siltcoos Lake followed by a barbeque at City Hall. We had 15 entries and everyone thought it was a great event. We had eleven sponsors who donated prizes and the event produced \$100 in revenue for the City.

- B. Volunteers are needed to fill three Budget Committee openings, two Parks & Recreation Commission positions, three Water Quality Committee positions and one Water Tester for Siltcoos Lake.
- C. Dunes City Artist of the Month is Dunes City resident and artist Kenny Henson.
- D. September birthday wishes to part-time Administrative Assistant Rapunzel Oberholtzer on the 2nd.

Mayor Ruede introduced Dunes City's City Attorney Emily Jerome as a member of our staff.

7. CITIZEN INPUT

Mary Jo Leach stated that she is hopeful to get an update on the 30 properties that were being pursued for not being in compliance with Ordinance 203.

8. PUBLIC HEARING

A. Ordinance No. 223 Amending the Comprehensive Plan regarding Goal 1

Mayor Ruede announced that this evening we have a public hearing on Ordinance No. 223, an ordinance amending the Committee for Citizen Involvement (CCI) Provisions of the Dunes City Comprehensive Plan. The City Council will make a final decision on the ordinance based on the complete record, including the testimony received at tonight's public hearing, and this hearing will be recorded. She also stated that this hearing would be held in accordance with the land use procedures required by the City and the State of Oregon. This is a Type IV Legislative Procedure. At the beginning of the public hearing tonight, staff will identify the applicable substantive criteria from the City Code.

Mayor Ruede asked if any Councilor wished to declare a conflict of interest. Council President Mills said she would not like to declare a conflict of interest, but stated that she has had ex parte contact in that she participated in the CCI meeting, but does not anticipate that it will influence her in any way and that she believes she can make an unbiased decision. Councilor Sanders declared an ex parte contact in the form of a conversation with a resident. Ms. Jerome clarified that neither Council President Mills nor Councilor Sanders' ex parte contacts would constitute a conflict of interest concern.

Mayor Ruede opened the public hearing for Ordinance No. 223 at 7:10 pm and asked for a staff report from City Attorney, Ms. Jerome. Ms. Jerome started her report, but was interrupted by two citizens who challenged both jurisdiction and bias. Mayor Ruede asked Ms. Jerome to explain the protocol for the evening, and she started to comply but was interrupted by Mr. John Stead who challenged the rules of procedure. Ms. Jerome explained the options of the Council at this time and Mayor Ruede announced that at this time she will accept comments regarding jurisdiction.

Citizen Mark Chandler challenged the Council stating that they lack jurisdiction to proceed on this matter because the CCI meeting was not held according to the Comprehensive Plan and in order to correct the defect in the process, the process should start again from the beginning. Council President Mills challenged Mr. Chandler's statement in that no evidence was given to support his complaint. Mayor Ruede questioned Mr. Chandler if he had significant evidence to present, and Mr. Chandler stated that even though he was not personally at the CCI meeting, the conversations he had with other citizens convinced him that the CCI process was not carried out properly because citizens attending the meeting were not provided input and no votes were taken.

Citizen Dr. Peter Howison stated that he attended the advertised May 15, 2013 CCI meeting which was a very different meeting than what he had attended in the past, in that the citizens were told up front that there would be no votes and that we would form some kind of consensus. He went on to point out that he understands there are issues with conflicts of interest for city officials, but that it was a very irregular meeting and we were not allowed to do what the Comprehensive Plan allows, and that is to vote.

Councilor Sanders questioned the City Attorney if the Comprehensive Plan states that voting is required to take place for the function of the meeting. Ms. Jerome stated that the testimony that was heard tonight assumes or believes that the Dunes City Comprehensive Plan now mandates that there be a vote at every CCI meeting, but what the text actually says is that all interested parties, by their attendance at the meetings of the CCI shall become voting members of the CCI for that meeting. She conveyed that it anticipates that if there were a vote, they would have the right to participate in that vote. She stated that she would discuss this later during her staff report, but that the CCI meeting was held to ensure that no one was left out of his or her participation in the sense that everything that was said came to you. There was no minority that was erased before you got the CCI report; there was no vote, and nobody would argue there was a vote, but she didn't believe that one was required.

Ms. Jerome began her staff report by noting that the Council's role tonight is to accept public testimony on the general idea of Ordinance 223 and that the Planning Commission has recommended the Council change the Comprehensive Plan concerning the CCI. She added that the Council will decide tonight to: 1) close the hearing, 2) extend it to a later date, 3) close the record entirely, or 4) deliberate and potentially take action this evening. She stated that the City Code provides that Council consider at least four things when amending the Comprehensive Plan, and they are: 1) the Statewide Planning Goals and guidelines, 2) comments from any federal or state agency regarding applicable statutes or regulations, 3) applicable inter-governmental agreements, and 4) applicable Comprehensive Plan policies (Goal 1 Policy is what is at issue tonight).

Ms. Jerome provided some history regarding the CCI and noted that the May 15, 2013 CCI meeting format was not in the traditional format intentionally; an audio of that CCI meeting has been available at City Hall. She stated that the meeting was organized in a way to make sure that every citizen that was at the meeting got a direct line of communication to the Planning Commission and the Council and that every person's view was recorded verbatim, with a designated listener for the purpose of making sure the person was correctly heard. The individual statements and a collective statement are in the Council's

packet for consideration tonight. The Planning Commission held a public hearing on June 27, 2013 and recommended Council to adopt the draft ordinance which designates the Planning Commission as the CCI instead of having citizens serve on the CCI and would rely on City Council to designate specific committees for action items that the Council is considering. The testimony that the Planning Commission received demonstrated that citizens want to retain the CCI and would participate and, for that reason, Ms. Jerome stated she prepared a second option anticipating the same testimony from the citizens tonight. She stated that this second option follows Goal 1's original intent which is that the CCI is composed of citizens, but the ordinance would change the scope of the CCI. She added that the CCI would convene periodically to monitor the City's citizen involvement program. She went on to explain the main issue at hand tonight is Goal 1's requirement that every city in the State has a CCI with members that are broadly representative of the city's geographic areas and interests, and that citizens who serve on the CCI be selected by an open, well publicized public process. She noted that the current Dunes City CCI process satisfies that requirement but that if it becomes driven by a particular segment it is the job of the City Council to bring in citizens from other areas with other viewpoints. She went on to explain the differences between a CCI and a CAC (Citizen Advisory Committee): the CCI advises the City Council only on matters relating to the CIP and Goal 1, whereas a CAC may deal with a broad range of planning and land use issues — each city or county has only one CCI, but there may be several CACs. She noted that the Dunes City Comprehensive Plan has been interpreted to mean that in Dunes City the CCI can be convened to make recommendations on any Comprehensive Plan issue, not just on proposals that would evaluate the CIP component. While not unlawful, Ms. Jerome noted, that use of the CCI exceeds the use that Goal 1 anticipates.

In 1988, the Department of Land Conservation & Development (DLCD) changed Goal 1 because a number of cities in the state couldn't find enough citizens to serve on the CCI, and it was amended to say that City Council could make themselves or the Planning Commissions serve the role of the CCI and it would be their responsibility to make sure the CCI was running well and effectively. She added that Option 1 designates the Planning Commission to play the role of the CCI, whereas Option 2 includes citizens serving on the CCI. Both options embrace the CAC committees (created by Council) and Council would determine the scope, the issues, and how the CAC would conduct its business.

Mayor Ruede asked for a clarification of Ms. Jerome's report and Ms. Jerome stated that at the present time, the City's Comprehensive Plan designates the citizens who show up at the meeting as the CCI, and that Council has not designated the Planning Commission to serve as the City's CCI. The Planning Commission proposal recommends that the City Council adopt the draft ordinance which would designate the Planning Commission as the CCI. Ms. Jerome explained that Goal 1 says that you have the CCI that only deals with citizen involvement, and the question really is whether or not you want to use the provision in Goal 1 that says you can say that that's your Planning Commission or your Council, or leave it as it is, or say you are going to have citizens on that but it is still going to be just for a citizen involvement piece. Mayor Ruede asked Councilors if they had any questions for staff. There were none. Mayor Ruede welcomed testimony from those who oppose amending the Citizen Involvement Provisions of the Dunes City Comprehensive Plan. She added that at the end of the hearing, City Councilors may have specific questions for those providing testimony, and she asked the Councilors to present those questions when all citizen testimony has been received.

Citizen Dr. Peter Howison read his written testimony into the record. It is attached as Exhibit A.

Citizen Susie Navetta read her written testimony into the record. It is attached as Exhibit B.

Citizen Mary Jo Leach skipped her comments and submitted a handout of her understanding on how the meeting should be conducted, attached as Exhibit C. She stated that she will not be submitting her biases now, but will submit them in writing, and suggested the Council keep the record open for two weeks for her to write her citation for bias. Ms. Leach read an article from the University of Oregon regarding CCI involvement.

Citizen April Dumas read a letter from Oregon Coast Alliance (ORCA) into the record and stated that because it was long, David Dumas and Richard Koehler have donated their three minutes to her testimony. The letter is attached as Exhibit D.

Citizen John Stead read a letter into the record and requested that the record remain open for two weeks to allow for written testimony. His testimony is attached as Exhibit E.

Citizen Mark Chandler read Policy A1 (Citizen Involvement) from the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Chandler asked the Council to start this process again from the beginning and follow all our current policies in doing so.

Citizen David Bellemore asked that because of the three-minute time limit, he would like to request that the record be held open so that he could submit a complete set of written testimony.

Citizen Bonnie Allen presented her testimony for the record. She said, "In this meeting tonight I've heard that citizen involvement is mandated by the State and that our procedure in Dunes City has been lauded for its substantial and valuable citizen involvement. I have heard that the State has ceded the right to Councils to transfer those responsibilities to the Planning Commission in the situation where sufficient citizen involvement could not be constituted, and I am asking if there is any evidence that this city has had a lack of citizen involvement. If there's not a lack of citizen involvement, then why are we moving to remove citizens' votes from this process?"

Citizen Dr. Jerry Wasserburg stated that he thought the legality for the proposed action for Ordinance 223 is clearly improper. He went on to say that he wanted to relate a story. He said, "My first involvement with the CCI was about 20 years or so ago. There was a

round table in this room. Someone said that there was a concerned citizens involvement meeting, so I decided that I would go to it not knowing what it was about. I think John Stead was there and maybe Mark. I came into the room and the woman Chairman turned to me after I sat there for awhile and she asked me who I was. I told her my name and where I lived. I was told that I had no business in that place. I did not like that! I did not like that at all, and that caused a revolution. I want to say the following thing. I'm not a revolutionary. The citizens involvement must follow the protocol of proper citizen involvement. That group of people were basically dicing up the local territory in Dunes City, mostly for their own personal benefits in entrenching and enhancing their property lines on the rivers and the lakes so that they could plan for or own more turf or effectively own more turf. They did so for the purpose of making it clear for developers so that it was more accessible, which is not bad except that it had to do within the framework of what the City was. I was really very angry. I would like to hope that this City does not go to some circumstance where the Mayor was involved in quite improper actions. In my letter to the editor of the newspaper was the comment that I have no reason that the Mayor should not make a living while he is in office but not because he was in office. It seems to me a matter of high ethics now that one has to have: a) citizens involvement and, b) that the basis of it must follow the existing Comp Plan. That somebody could alter the Comp Plan for good reason and after public debate seems perfectly reasonable. I have no objection to that. But, what's happening here — I'm going back to the notion of who are you and why are you here? The answer is, I am a citizen of Dunes City."

Mayor Ruede called for testimonies in favor of the proposed Ordinance 223.

Citizen Darlene Beckman asked that her verbal comments be received as a citizen of Dunes City and not as a Planning Commissioner. Ms. Beckman stated that there is no continuity in this process because different people show up at each meeting, changing the outcome of the vote. She suggested the Council select a better and more reasonable process because the old method is not working well.

City Administrator Hilden read a written testimony in favor of the proposed ordinance into the record, a letter dated September 12, 2013 from an absent citizen, Ronald Mann. A copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit F.

City Administrator Hilden read a written letter in favor of the proposed ordinance dated September 12, 2013 from Citizen Steve Burton, an absent citizen. A copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit G.

City Administrator Hilden reported that the only other testimony that has not been part of the public hearing is the email exchanges between Cameron La Follette and Dave Perry that Ms. Jerome referred to earlier in her staff report. A copy of this email exchange is attached as Exhibit H.

Mayor Ruede addressed a point-of-order question to Ms. Jerome regarding three requests tonight to leave the record open for written testimony for two weeks. After some discussion, Ms. Jerome commented that this is not a quasi-judicial matter, but a legislative mat-

ter and therefore this is a policy question for the Council to decide; Mayor Ruede stated that she would consider this during discussions.

Citizen Richard Koehler commented that City Administrator Hilden did not read the negative comment in the packet from Citizen Del Riesenhuber; City Administrator Hilden stated the reason he did not read the opposition comment from Mr. Riesenhuber is because it was included in the packet that was published a week ago. He then asked for direction from the Mayor. Mayor Ruede responded that she would not allow the reading of the letter.

Mayor Ruede asked the audience to raise their hand if they have read the agenda packet page-by-page in its entirety. Citizen Susie Navetta asked how many copies were available. City Administrator Hilden stated that although the packet is not available online because of the size of the document, it has been available at City Hall for a week. Mayor Ruede commented that the citizens knew this was all going on and everyone has participated in the hearing. She asked to hear now from anyone who feels his or her comments were not understood at the meeting.

Planning Commission Chairman and citizen George Burke commented that the ORCA letter read tonight and printed in the newspaper a couple of weeks ago claims, and he disputes, that the Planning Commission did not take into consideration an alternate ordinance that John Stead presented at the Planning Commission meeting on June 27th. Although the draft ordinance was received just before the meeting, the ordinance was inserted in the Planning Commission packets and the Planning Commission deliberated on the ordinance, so the assertion that was made is false. Mr. Burke also commented that he has been involved with this Ordinance document for over 21/2 years involving recommendations and changes, reviews by three or four lawyers; this document has been worked to death, gone through the CCI process and he recommends that it be adopted. He questioned Council how Option 2 was created and who authorized it because the Planning Commission has never seen it. Ms. Jerome explained her position as Staff and City Attorney and that it was not within her role to contact the Planning Commission Chairman about Option 2, but that all of her contact is done through the City Administrator. Ms. Jerome went on to explain how Option 2 was established. She stated that if things can't change based on the input that the public gives, then something's broken, and the input that came to the Planning Commission based on the one draft ordinance they had before them, showed a great deal of concern for complete abolition of the CCI as it currently exists. She went on to point out that Mr. Stead's ordinance was considered before the planning Commission and it was all about rethinking the abolition of the CCI and conforming more to what the state law anticipates for city involvement. She stated that in her judgment, Option 2 was necessary and she had discussed it with the City Administrator. She stated that introducing Option 2 tonight was good and efficient government.

Mr. Wasserburg asked why this was not available on the web. Mayor Ruede stated we do not have the Staff to get it on the web, but it was properly noticed. City Administrator Hilden explained that the Agenda was sent to interested parties a week ago and agendas are not sent out until after hard copies of the packets are available at City Hall. He also stated that a file this large would exceed the maximum allowed on our web server, but hard copies of the packets have been available for a week. Mary Jo Leach asked if there is a charge for the copies, and Administrator Hilden stated no, there is no charge.

Mayor Ruede announced that Council will now ask questions of citizens regarding their testimony.

Council President Mills asked Susie Navetta (because she mentioned the time limit), what she meant by "fake" in her testimony. Ms. Navetta read a portion of her written statement that says, "For an explanation of why this is labeled a 'fake' meeting, refer to the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Report presented to the Council on June 13, 2013. By reference, please include this document in the record." Mayor Ruede asked Ms. Navetta if she felt (at the May 15, 2013 meeting) that her comments were accurately written down and documented, and Ms. Navetta replied that one of her comments was incomplete, but other than that, they were written down correctly.

Council President Mills asked Ms. Leach what document she was reporting in her testimony, and she replied, "The University of Oregon's Framework for Citizen Involvement."

Council President Mills stated that she felt nothing much came out of the storm water CCI meetings and asked Dr. Wasserburg what his opinion was of that during the time he was Chairman of the committee. He stated that the reception on the part of the Council was very negative and that Council again was exerting too much pressure on people in the community to follow certain codes, and that was just not acceptable and it came to no fruition. He also added that after a great deal of work, he just gave up; the basis was very simple engineering practices and "we worked at it very hard." He added more examples and finished by saying Council could not make any codes that were enforceable.

Councilor Sanders asked Dr. Howison where the quotation he mentioned in his testimony, ("This town home meeting atmosphere helps to assure that the plan truly represents the desires of Dunes City residents") is cited from. Dr. Howison stated it is in the Comprehensive Plan and Ordinance 223. Ms. Jerome read a portion of the Comprehensive Plan (in the Forward) that includes the history of the Plan and the quote in question.

Council President Mills asked Dr. Howison, if only a majority voted on the decision as presented, how is the dissenting opinion expressed to the Planning Commission and the City Council? The voting process was discussed, and Council President Mills emphasized she is concerned for citizens who do not have an opportunity to voice their opinions even though they show up at the meetings, but are outvoted.

Mayor Ruede asked Mary Jo Leach if she felt her point was heard and recorded accurately at the CCI meeting. Ms. Leach's response was, it was recorded, but there was no response and no real conversation, just questions and answers.

Ms. Jerome asked Mary Jo Leach for the University of Oregon paperwork she used in her testimony just for the record.

At 8:55 pm, Mayor Ruede recessed the public hearing for an estimated 5 minutes; the public hearing reconvened at 9:02 pm.

Councilor Sanders asked Ms. Jerome to explain the seemingly confusing languages in the two separate ordinance proposals. Ms. Jerome explained that if Option 1 passed, it would make the CCI part of the Planning Commission duties, there would not be the citizen CCI, and the paragraph related to history discussed earlier, would be changed. Option 2 would retain the CCI composed of citizens for the purpose of Goal 1, which includes citizen involvement, and the historical language (Exhibit C, page 15 in the packet). Ms. Jerome read the changed text from Exhibit C and stated that this language would reflect the continuing legislative history that you would be making if you choose Option 2. After Mayor Ruede questioned whose responsibility it would be to appoint the Citizen Action Committees, Ms. Jerome responded by reading the provisions and stating that the Citizen Advisory Committees could be appointed by City Council or by the Planning Commission. She went on to point out that as it stands right now, it is the Planning Commission that makes the appointments, but is obviously one that the Council can change.

Councilor Scarberry suggested the Planning Commission be given time to review Option 2 since they were not aware of it. City Attorney Jerome presented several different options that the Council might consider.

Councilor Sanders made a motion to leave the record open for one (1) week for written testimony to be received at City Hall by September 19, 2013 and send the entire packet to the Planning Commission for a work session at their next meeting on September 26, 2013. Councilor Wells seconded the amended motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

Mayor Ruede closed the public hearing at 9:19 pm.

9. New Business

A. Deliberation and Decision regarding Ordinance No. 223 Amending the Comprehensive Plan regarding Goal 1

City Attorney Jerome stated that she had no further comments and that Council's decision reflects a lot of thought tonight, and that 9A could be postponed until the Council's next meeting; Mayor Ruede agreed to postpone Agenda Item 9A.

Mayor Ruede stated that the comments regarding the town hall atmosphere are not taken lightly by the Council because they understand and it means a lot that the Planning Commission has put so much time and effort into the issue. B. Agreement for Road Consultant Services (F. Hilden)

City Administrator Hilden stated that by prior Ordinance 149, we have a Director of Roads (Gary Baker), who helped create the Master Road Plan and have contracted with Mr. Baker. The contract has expired and it is time to renew. He went on to add that the terms of the contract remain identical other than he proposed to make the term of the contract for two years instead of one. City Administrator Hilden noted that the City has had a good and stable relationship over the past four years with Mr. Baker and he provided a full citywide assessment of the pavement index on a pro-bono basis to the City as well as a lot of work on the initial work of the Pavement Management System. He went on to note that he provides the City with a low competitive consultant rate of \$40/hour, which is the same rate as when he was hired four years ago. Mr. Hilden added that Mr. Baker's services are budgeted for 2013 and 2014. He stated he is looking for approval by the Council to execute this agreement. Council President Mills stated that Mr. Baker and his prior services to the City have worked well for us, and for that reason, she made the following motion.

Council President Mills made a motion to continue road consulting services with Mr. Baker for two (2) years. Councilor Sanders seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

10. UNFINISHED / OLD BUSINESS

A. Ordinance No. 203 Compliance Report (J. Mills)

Council President Mills stated that in regards to those properties closest to the lake that are not in compliance with the code, she identified 40 properties (at least 10 are for sale), and after making phone calls, she determined there is a misunderstanding and miscommunication with the citizens. She noted that some of those who had their systems pumped, thought they were in compliance, when in actuality, they have not had inspections.

Councilor Mills proposed to dedicate the next newsletter to mention in detail what is required of the people who live here in order to comply with Ordinance 203. After a lengthy discussion with Council, City Administrator Hilden, and Planning Commissioner Burke, a previous motion was considered "dead" and the following motion was made.

Council President Mills made a motion that at the time of application for any permit that is being applied for, for property within Dunes City limits, that Staff check to see that the property is in compliance with Ordinance 203 (Septic), prior to issuance of the permit, and not issue the permit until the property is in compliance. Councilor Sanders seconded the motion for purposes of discussion. The motion failed by a vote of 2 ayes and 3 nays (Councilors Wells, Scarberry and Mayor Ruede).

Mayor Ruede clarified her determining vote by stating that she would like to see this issue revisited later.

B. Determination of 2013 – 2063 Time Capsule Contents

Councilor President Mills suggested a ceremony at the Christmas potluck for this agenda item and the Council concurred. After discussing a possible date for this occasion, Council agreed to finalize the date at the next meeting.

C. Playground Lot 19-12-27-30-00700 (F. Hilden)

Mayor Ruede conveyed that she received a call from the Lane County Commissioner, Mr. Jay Bozievich who stated we have no jurisdiction over this property. City Administrator Hilden provided some background concerning this issue, and after discussion with Council, he recommended Council give some direction regarding this matter.

Council President Mills made a motion to instruct Staff to prepare a Quit Claim Deed from Dunes City to be signed by the appropriate parties, quit claiming any interest the City may have to Lane County. Councilor Wells seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

After more discussion with Council, City Administrator Hilden offered to make a phone call without making a contingency, to determine if it is possible for the City to recover some expenses that they have lost including staff time.

D. Algal Bloom Monitoring and Reporting (J. Mills)

Council President Mills stated she is concerned about the duties of the Water Quality Committee in her absence, should there be an algal bloom. She informed the Council that since no one offered to become the Vice Chairman of the Water Quality Committee, if something happens regarding this issue during her absence, Council might have to take action. Mayor Ruede suggested changing scribner errors in the protocol. Council President Mills confirmed with Mayor Ruede that the Water Quality Committee will be instructed to make these changes and refer back to Council, and Council may have to take the duties of the Water Quality Chairman during her absence if there is a problem.

11. REPORTS

Mayor's Report: Mayor Ruede reported she had to close Ocean Boulevard.

<u>Communication & Education Committee Report:</u> Council President Mills stated that the Committee did not meet this month.

Ordinance Review Committee Report: Council President Mills stated that the Committee did not meet this month.

Water Quality Committee Report: Council President Mills stated her report has been discussed and she has received direction from Council.

<u>Community Center Report:</u> Councilor Wells stated he thought everyone enjoyed the Paddle Only Poker Run and barbecue.

<u>Parks & Recreation Commission Report:</u> Councilor Scarberry reported that the Commission did not meet.

<u>Road Commission Report:</u> Councilor Sanders stated the Road Commission was busy this month and the information is in his report.

<u>Emergency Services / City Recorder Report</u>: City Administrator Hilden reported the emergency road closure of Ocean Boulevard, Commissioner Palmer has already done some brushing there and the City is waiting for PUD to top a tree.

12. For the Good of the Order

Councilor Wells stated for the record that in his opinion, the hearing tonight regarding several matters pertaining to the CCI issue including: 1) there are nine openings on Committees that need to be filled, 2) citizens who attend CCI have an agenda, 3) regular citizens of Dunes City have voted for the Council to handle the issues at hand and therefore don't need to come to the CCI meetings, and 4) reference to alliances and associations to be involved in the CCI, he does not agree with and what they have to say is unimportant.

Councilor Scarberry stated that what bothered him in the public hearing tonight was that the opinions of the people who show up to the CCI meetings is considered more important than the people who stay home. He agreed with Councilor Wells that the Council was elected to represent the people. He complimented the Mayor and Council for their "town hall" atmosphere and attitude during the meeting tonight by treating people with respect, even when they were out of order.

13. Adjournment

Councilor Scarberry made a motion for adjournment. The motion was not seconded and no vote was taken. Mayor Ruede adjourned the meeting at 10:06 pm.

APPROVED BY THE DUNES CITY COUNCIL ON THE 10th DAY OF OCTOBER 2013.

[Signed copy available at City Hall] Rebecca Ruede, Mayor

ATTEST:

[Signed copy available at City Hall] Fred Hilden, City Administrator

Dunes City City Council Meeting Minutes ~ Approved LL/20130912 Page 12 of 12